U. S. Department of Justice



United States Attorney Northern District of Illinois

Patrick J. Fitzgerald United States Attorney Federal Building 219 South Dearborn Street, Fifth Floor Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 353-5300

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE FRIDAY NOVEMBER 2, 2007 www.usdoj.gov/usao/iln PRESS CONTACTS: AUSA Julie B. Ruder

(312)886-1317

Randall Samborn (312)353-5318

CHICAGO PRIEST FACING FEDERAL CHARGE ALLEGING SEXUAL ABUSE OF MINOR BOY

CHICAGO – A Jesuit priest was taken into federal custody today on a new federal charge that he sexually molested minor boys, including one who lived with him in Evanston between 1999 and 2003 and accompanied him on interstate and international religious retreats. The **Rev. Donald J. McGuire** was charged in a criminal complaint with one count of traveling to Switzerland and Austria in December 2000 to engage in sexual conduct with a minor. The complaint was filed yesterday and unsealed today after McGuire was transferred by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) agents from state custody in Wisconsin to federal custody in Chicago.

McGuire, 77, of Chicago, was expected to appear at 3:30 p.m. today before Magistrate Judge Arlander Keys in U.S. District Court in Chicago. The charges were announced by Patrick J. Fitzgerald, United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, and Elissa A. Brown, Special Agent-in-Charge of U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement in Chicago.

According to a detailed complaint affidavit, McGuire was ordained in 1961 and is affiliated with the Chicago Province of the Society of Jesus (the Jesuits). He was also affiliated until at least 2006 with an organization called Mission Fides, which supported and helped organize McGuire's

religious retreats in the United States and around the world, including retreats for Mother Teresa's communities in India. Between approximately the mid-1990s and 2003, McGuire's primary residence was at Canisius House, a Jesuit priest community in Evanston.

The 24-page affidavit states that, according to documents provided by the Jesuits, since at least 1991, McGuire has had a number of restrictions placed on him concerning interaction with minors. According to multiple witnesses, however, McGuire continued to travel alone with boys in their teens and early 20s throughout the 1990s and through 2003, and sexually molested males during this time, including Victim A, a minor, who accompanied McGuire on a retreat to Switzerland and Austria in December 2000.

The affidavit alleges that Victim A told investigators that McGuire sexually molested him between 1999 and the fall of 2003. Victim A was 13 years old when the sexual abuse allegedly began in 1999. According to Victim A, the sexual abuse ended in 2003 when the Jesuits ordered McGuire to move from Canisius House to another residence in Chicago.

The complaint affidavit also alleges the McGuire sexually abused Victim B, beginning in about the late 1980s when Victim B was approximately 9 years old. McGuire frequently stayed with Victim B's family when he traveled to retreats and the first sexual abuse of Victim B allegedly occurred while he was hearing Victim B's confession. According to Victim B, McGuire continued to sexually abuse him during subsequent retreats and confessions when Victim B was approximately 13 or 14 years old. The affidavit also details information from another individual – Victim C, who was 17 years old when he came to live and work with McGuire in 1998 – concerning McGuire's sexual interest in boys during the relevant time period.

Under current federal law, the statute of limitations for sexual abuse of a minor extends during the life of the victim.

The government is being represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Julie B. Ruder.

The statute under which McGuire was charged carries a maximum penalty of 15 years in prison and a \$250,000 fine. The Court, however, would determine the appropriate sentence to be imposed under the advisory United States Sentencing Guidelines.

The public is reminded that a complaint contains only charges and is not evidence of guilt.

The defendant is presumed innocent and is entitled to a fair trial at which the government has the burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

####